

BUSINESS SCHOOL







# Rethinking energy poverty and best practices for the governance of distributed renewable energy access

Keynote Lecture to the "Regional Learning Alliance for Community Energy," University of Sheffield, United Kingdom, February 11, 2021

#### Benjamin K. Sovacool, Ph.D

Professor of Energy Policy, University of Sussex Director of the Center on Innovation and Energy Demand, United Kingdom Professor of Business & Social Sciences, Aarhus University Director of the Center for Energy Technologies, Denmark Email: B.Sovacool@Sussex.ac.uk or BenjaminSo@Auhe.au.dk Proportion of population with access to electricity, 2018



• Even though it's 2020 (where are our moon colonies?), about 770 million people still don't have reliable electricity access





• And about 2.7 *billion* people cook over simple fires or cookstoves using technology older than Jesus Christ and the Bible





WHAT'S ONE OF THE MOST DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES FOR A WOMAN IN THE DEVELOPING WORLD?







Percentage of Biomass in Total Fuel Use





Professor Kirk Smith: Wood and biomass is the fuel that warms "four times"



- > Within renewable energy access and development programs of a certain type (more on this later), how are interventions structured, what are their benefits, what are their challenges?
- More broadly, what works, what doesn't, what are the best practices that transcend geography, what lessons have been forgotten or ignored?



### Selection criteria for case studies:

- It had to be in Asia (though this is no longer true), started with 1,156 possible examples from a 2-month literature review
- > Eight phase selection process
  - Had to be in a middle-income country or below, no industrialized or highincome economies (998 left)
  - Had to involve provision of energy supply with 5 technologies (SHS, ICS, biogas, Microhydro, wind), no light bulbs or mobile phones (944 left)
  - Had to operate for at least 4 years, no pilot or demonstration projects (322 left)
  - Had to operate in off-grid or rural areas, no centralized, electricity-utility scale projects (290 left)
  - Had to have "moderate size," excluded programs with budgets less than \$50k, with less than 750 customers, and/or with less than 100 kW of total capacity (117 left)
  - Had to be recent, in operation or completed in the past decade, no projects ending before 2000 (55 left)
  - Had to have sufficient data, at least 5 published sources of credible information (24 left)
  - V Had to be an "extreme" or "clear out" eace of success or failure 10 left)

#### TEN SMALL-SCALE, RENEWABLE ENERGY ASIAN CASE STUDIES\*

•

٠

Grameen Shakti, Bangladesh

Renewable Energy Development Project, China

Rural Electrification Program, Laos

Renewable Energy for Rural Access Project, Mongolia

Rural Energy Development Programme, Nepal

Energy Services Delivery Project, Sri Lanka

Village Energy Security Programme, India

Solar Home Systems Project, Indonesia

Small Renewable Energy Power Program, Malaysia

Teacher's Solar Lighting Project, Papua New Guinea

Data collection process

- **441 research interviews** and meetings with 189 institutions over the course of four years, anonymous
- *Government agencies* such as the Nepal Ministry of Energy, Indonesian Ministry of Finance, Indian Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology, or Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority;
- Intergovernmental organizations such as the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation, the Global Environment Facility, and the United Nations Development Programme;
  - International civil society organizations or think tanks, including Conservation International, Friends of the Earth, Transparency International, and the Stockholm Environmental Institute;
- Local civil society organizations or think tanks, including Grameen Shakti, Yayasan Pelangi Indonesia, and Pragati Pratishthan;
- *Electricity suppliers* including the Nepal Electricity Authority, Tenaga Nasional Berhad in Malaysia, Ceylon Electricity Board in Sri Lanka, and Papua New Guinea Power Limited;
- *Manufacturers, industry groups,* and *commercial retailers* such as Alstrom Hydro, Barefoot Power Systems, Sime Darby, Siemens, and Sunlabob;
- *Financiers* and *bilateral development donors* including Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit, United States Agency for International Development, the Asian Development Bank, and the World Bank Group; and
- Universities and research institutes including the





- Supplemented with 90 renewable energy site facilities in the ten countries
  - Variety of sources, systems, sizes, and capacities
  - Research laboratories
  - Testing centers
  - Factories
  - Assembly lines
  - Public and private
- Plus focus group discussions with almost 800 community members
  - Households
  - Village leaders
  - Political representatives
- Triangulated with a second, indepth literature review of peerreviewed and internet sources (especially project documents)



## Finding (1): A complexity of optimal technologies and energy services

















Model	Description	Example
Technology improvement and market development	A sort of "supply push" structure where the PPP develops a renewable energy technology to reduce costs	China's Renewable Energy Development Program
End-user microfinance	A sort of "demand pull" which gives loans to energy users to that they can purchase renewable energy equipment	Grameen Shakti in Bangladesh
Project finance	Where small- and medium-scale projects are supported with loans and financial assistance from commercial banks	Energy Services Delivery Project in Sri Lanka
Cooperative	Where communities own renewable energy systems themselves	Cinta Mekar Microhydro Project in Indonesia
Community mobilization fund	Where revenues from renewable electricity or energy production are invested back into local communities	Microhydro Village Electrification Scheme in Nepal
Energy services company (ESCO) "fee-for-service"	Where private sector enterprises purchase technology and then charge consumers only for the renewable energy "service" that results	Zambia's PV-ESCO Project
Hybrid (cross-subsidization and ESCO)	Where tariffs on one type of electricity are then funneled into a fund to support renewable energy	The Rural Electrification Project in Laos
Hybrid (end-user microfinance and ESCO "fee-for-service")	Where private sector enterprises purchase technology and then charge consumers only for the renewable energy "service" that results	India's Solar Lantern Project

Finding (2): Policy mechanisms or business models can be just as important as technology



### Elements of a Successful Off-Grid Electrification Project



Lesson	Factor	Banglades h	China	Laos	Mongoli a	Nepal	Sri Lanka	India	Indones ia	Malaysia
Net Benefici al Energy	Expanded access to energy services	X	X	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	X
Access	Job creation	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Lowered fuel consumptio n/prices	Х	X			X	X			
	Improved technologic al quality	X	Х			Х	Х			
	Reduced morbidity and mortality			Х			Х			
	Fewer greenhouse gas emissions	X			X	X				X

## Finding (3): Best practices or design principles do exist (12/42)

_esson	Factor	Ban glad esh	China	Laos	Mongolia	Nepal	Sri Lanka	India	Indonesi a	Malaysia	Papua New Guinea
Appropriate Fechnology	Feasibility studies	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Scaling up	Х	Х				Х				
	Service rather than technology orientation	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Technical standards and certification		Х		Х		Х				
	Cultural sensitivity	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
Community Commitme nt	Community ownership/operati on/participation	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х				
	Minority/gender empowerment	Х		Х		Х					
	Monetary contributions (cash, savings, collateral)	Х	Х	Х	Х						
	Non-monetary contributions (time, labor, land, materials)					X	X				

Lesson	Factor	Ban glad esh	China	Laos	Mongoli a	Nepal	Sri Lanka	India	Indonesi a	Malaysia	Papua New Guinea
Awareness Raising	Marketing and promotion	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
	Demonstration	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х				
After-sales Service	Product guarantees/warra nties/buy back	Х		Х	Х						
	Training/funds for maintenance	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
Income Generatio n	Classes in productive end- use	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	
	Scholarships	Х									
Institution al Diversity	Involvement of non-state- actors/private sector	X	X	Х	Х	X	Х	X		X	X
	Polycentricity	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Cost sharing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Avoidance of corruption					Х					

Lesson	Factor	Bangla desh	China	Laos	Mongoli a	Nepal	Sri Lanka	India	Indonesi a	Malaysia	Papua New Guinea
Affordability	Provision of credit/microcre dit/ ESCO "fee- for-service" model	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		X
	Revenue collection	Х		Х		Х	Х				
	Support for manufacturing/ industry		Х		Х						
	Lower programmatic costs	Х	Х			Х					
Capacity Building	Institution building	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Outsourcing			Х							
	Improved business practices (accounting, auditing, revenue collection, marketing)		X		Х	X	X				
	Self-sufficiency	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х				

Lesson	Factor	Ban glad esh	China	Laos	Mongoli a	Nepal	Sri Lanka	India	Indonesi a	Malaysi a	Papua New Guinea
Flexibility	Diversity of eligible technologies	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Follow-up project		Х	Х		Х	Х				
	Promotion of both grid/off-grid systems			Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Adjusted targets/extended deadline		Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Evaluatio n and Monitorin	Independent evaluator	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
g	Penalties for noncompliance	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х				
Political Support	Policy integration	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	
	Dedicated or experienced implementing agency	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
	Project champion/political leadership	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				

## Conclusion - energy access is:

- Multi-scalar: involving households, neighborhoods, and city/village councils as well as state planners, national regulators, and international donors
- Multi-institutional: not just a single type of actor (consumer or supplier), but faith-based groups, environmental think tanks, renewable energy suppliers, inventors and innovators, etc.
- Multi-sectoral: electricity and energy as well as agriculture, education, public health, and gender equity (to name a few)
- Multi-dimensional: a variety of energy end-use services (cutting across cooking, heating, etc.) and elements beyond technology

Not until the creation and maintenance of decent conditions of life for all people are recognized and accepted as a common obligation of all people and all countries not until then shall we, with a certain degree of justification, be able to speak of mankind as civilized.

### Further reading:

- Sovacool, BK, C Cooper, M Bazilian, K Johnson, D Zoppo, S Clarke, J Eidsness, M Crafton, T Velumail, and HA Raza. "What Moves and Works: Broadening the Consideration of Energy Poverty," *Energy Policy* 42 (March, 2012), pp. 715-719.
- Chaurey, A, Krithika PR, Palit D, Rakesh S, and BK Sovacool. "New Partnerships and Business Models for Facilitating Energy Access," *Energy Policy* 47(1) (June, 2012), pp. 48-55.
- Sovacool, BK. "The Political Economy of Energy Poverty: A Review of Key Challenges," Energy for Sustainable Development 16(3) (September, 2012), pp. 272-282.
- Sovacool, BK. "Deploying Off-Grid Technology to Eradicate Energy Poverty," *Science* 338 (October 5, 2012), pp. 47-48.
- Sovacool, BK. "Design Principles for Renewable Energy Programs in Developing Countries," *Energy & Environmental Science* 5(11) (November, 2011), pp. 9157-9162.
- Sovacool, BK. "A Qualitative Factor Analysis of Renewable Energy and Sustainable Energy for All (SE4ALL) in the Asia-Pacific," *Energy Policy* 59 (August, 2013), pp. 393-403.
- Sovacool, BK, M Bazilian, and M. Toman. "Paradigms and Poverty in Global Energy Policy: Research Needs for Achieving Universal Energy Access," *Environmental Research Letters* 11(6) (June, 2016) 064014, pp. 1-6.
- Sovacool, BK. "Success and failure in the political economy of solar electrification: Lessons from World Bank Solar Home System (SHS) projects in Sri Lanka and Indonesia," *Energy Policy* 123 (December, 2018), pp. 482-493.
- Gregory, J and BK Sovacool. "Rethinking the governance of energy poverty in sub-Saharan Africa: Reviewing three academic perspectives on electricity infrastructure investment," *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 111 (September, 2019), pp. 344-354.